



LONDON
Lincoln's Inn
London WC2A 3UP, UK
Tel: +44 (0)20 7691 2424
Fax: +44 (0)870 460 2178
teama@xxiv.co.uk

GENEVA
16, rue de Candolle
1205, Geneva
Switzerland
Tel: +41 (0)22 328 1313
Fax: +41 (0)22 320 4109

*"Great attention to detail, excellent cross-examination skills
and forceful advocacy."
— The Legal 500 2021*



Arshad Ghaffar

Call: 1991

ag@xxiv.co.uk

<http://uk.linkedin.com/pub/arshad-ghaffar/14/39a/3aa>

Arshad Ghaffar, a barrister, arbitrator and mediator called to the English Bar, is a highly regarded and trusted commercial dispute resolution lawyer. His experience encompasses the full spectrum of the business cycle. His clients are based in numerous jurisdictions, earning him unrivalled experience of cross-jurisdictional matters as well as different, and often challenging, bodies of law. He represents his clients in a broad array of resolution forums, from the English commercial chancery high court and appellate courts through to domestic and international arbitrations, and frequently receives nominations to sit as an arbitrator and as a mediator in areas of his expertise.

In addition to his persuasive oral advocacy skills, Arshad is renowned for his commendable written advocacy. His pen is said to be mightier than a sword, and he uses it precisely to state in writing the basis of his arguments, subsequently to be brought to life before a court or arbitration panel. His clients regard him as "exceptionally proactive" during the life of a dispute resolution process and "remarkable" in his ability to identify and present solutions that are intellectually creative, legally robust and commercially sound. Whilst maintaining a firm grasp of the strict legal aspects of a case, Arshad ensures he also works to achieve his clients' ancillary commercial and strategic objectives.

The disputes in which he is instructed often involve the interplay between the interpretation of complex commercial contracts and their technical underpinnings, such as is the case with large infrastructure projects, and involve the laws of multiple jurisdictions. With arbitration becoming the prevailing forum to address such disputes, his more recent instructions have built up a catalogue of experience in international and domestic commercial and corporate disputes through the process of arbitration at both institutional and ad hoc levels. He has conducted arbitrations under most of the most frequently used institutional rules, including those of the ICC and the LCIA and is also familiar with the UNCITRAL rules.

Arshad also has a non-contentious branch to his practise in which he is often called upon to opine on questions concerning the interpretation of laws and regulations in various jurisdictions and the application of treaties and laws with extra-territorial reach such as trade treaties, AML and sanctions.

High level summary of Arshad's instructions and experience (for more details refer to individual specialisations):

- In AMG Global Nominees v SMM Holdings and THZ Holdings Arshad acted for a client based in South Africa in respect of asbestos mines in Zimbabwe
- In Trans-World Aluminium (TWA) v Cornelder (Singapore) Arshad was retained by Trans-World Aluminium in respect of a proceeding in the Singapore Admiralty Court concerning a cargo of alumina in China warehoused by a Singaporean company
- In an on-going confidential arbitration claim Arshad is representing two Nigerian construction companies in a joint venture dispute with a Turkish company
- In Pearl Petroleum v Kurdistan Regional Government of Iraq, Arshad acted for the KRG before the DIFC Court

- Arshad has acted for numerous Omani clients both public and private, and in Oman as well as in other jurisdictions including, to name but a few, Petroleum Development (Oman) (PDO), Shaikh Suhail Bahwan, Sogex, the Ministry of Defence Pension Fund (MODPF) and the Public Authority for Electricity and Water (PAEW) and has also, unusually for an English Barrister, been retained by Omani law firms to draft pleadings which have been translated into Arabic for use in Omani Court proceedings, including in the Omani Supreme Court
- Arshad has been instructed in a variety of DIFC Court matters as well as Dubai seated arbitrations, including the KRG case, being the highest value attempted enforcement of an arbitration award in the DIFC Courts
- Arshad has sat as an arbitrator in London, New Delhi, Muscat and Dubai
- Arshad has also been involved in arbitrations in Hong Kong, Malaysia, Singapore and Thailand
- In the UK Courts Arshad has been involved in cases involving allegations of illegality and fraud (Saeed v Ibrahim), sham transactions and forgeries (Godfrey v Torpy) and mismanagement of a mosque (Wycombe Islamic Mosque and Mission Trust)
- Arshad has acted as counsel and as arbitrator in DIFC-LCIA Arbitrations; LCIA Arbitrations and ICC Arbitrations, the details of which are confidential
- He has also in the last 5 years received numerous party nominations as an arbitrator, and now increasingly also as the chairman of the tribunal as well as joint nominations as a mediator
- Arshad has drafted standard terms and conditions for recovery agents and been involved in drafting contractual documents for a 3G telecoms operator as well as for power producers and integrated tourism developments. He was also heavily involved in producing the first drafts of the Oman Commercial Arbitration Centre (OCAC) arbitration rules and its Board bye laws, in 2019.

Arshad's international practice is incredibly wide-ranging and diverse. It has ranged from advising on anti-corruption legislation internationally to questions concerning sanctions against individuals in Zimbabwe. He has been instructed by lawyers from a variety of jurisdictions, including Nigeria, Singapore, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Oman, the UAE, India and Pakistan. The range of Arshad's work and the number of jurisdictions he has worked in truly reflect him to be a global citizen comfortable wherever he is and sensitive to issues of cultural and geographical diversity.

Arbitration

Arbitration, both domestic and international, forms a major part of Arshad's practice. The bulk of Arshad's work however has an international dimension, either involving foreign clients or legal or arbitral proceedings in other jurisdictions. Arshad has been or is involved in arbitrations taking place in New York, Malaysia, Hong Kong, Oman, the UAE, Qatar, India and Indonesia. Arshad has in addition some experience of investment treaty arbitration having been retained in respect of proceedings before ICSID in respect of a claim under the UK-Kenya BIT and having advised under the Energy Charter Treaty. Arshad is currently advising a Pakistani investor in respect of a claim against Saudi Arabia under the OIC Investment Protection Treaty.

Arshad has particular expertise in the energy sector and has acted in various disputes concerning power plants in Oman, including as to their construction and as to their operation and maintenance. He has also advised in respect of claims arising under numerous Power Purchase Agreements. Additionally, he has experience in the hospitality and tourism sector and has been involved in a number of arbitrations regarding hotel management contracts as well as in relation to the construction of Integrated Tourism Complexes. Arshad was also counsel in the landmark case of *Egan & Eggert v Efa & Eava*, in which he was instructed to challenge the jurisdiction of the DIFC Court to enforce a New York Convention Award which had no nexus whatsoever with the DIFC and in which the so-called "conduit" jurisdiction of the DIFC Court was first articulated.

In the past 3 years, Arshad has, inter alia, acted for the successful party in the following, the details of all of which are confidential, unless otherwise indicated:

- a Zurich seated, Dubai located, ICC arbitration concerning the sale and purchase of shares in an Omani marble mining company;
- an ad hoc construction arbitration in Oman concerning a major integrated tourism development;
- an LCIA arbitration concerning the provision of consultancy services by a US company to a Saudi Arabian aerospace company;
- an ad hoc arbitration in Oman for the Public Authority for Electricity and Water concerning the construction of a sea water reverse osmosis desalination plant;
- a \$50 million ad hoc arbitration in Oman concerning a sewage treatment network (*Galfar v Haya*);
- a \$10 million LCIA arbitration concerning the distribution of travel goods;
- an ICC arbitration regarding an aborted trade in Medium Term Notes;
- a Hong Kong arbitration regarding liability for damage to cargo caused by inadequate lashing under the Gencon 94.

Currently, amongst others, Arshad is retained as counsel in a DIFC-LCIA arbitration with a value in the tens of millions of dollars in relation to a shareholders' agreement concerning shares in a company established to set up and operate an Antimony roaster and in which one of the parties is a sovereign wealth fund; an ICC arbitration valued at about \$40 million in relation to a failed joint venture agreement between a Nigerian and a Turkish construction company, and in an LCIA arbitration regarding the supply of equipment for the manufacture of wood based panels and boards.

Arshad has also acted as counsel in i) an LCIA arbitration for a partly government owned client regarding wire manufacturing machinery; ii) an ad hoc arbitration regarding the management of a hotel in Duqqum, Oman; iii) an ad hoc charterparty arbitration involving failure to pay the purchase price of 2 vessels chartered on hire- purchase terms (seat London), iv) an LCIA arbitration regarding the sale of scrap metal; and v) continues to be involved in various shipping arbitrations under LMAA rules acting both for charterers and shippers and in one of which, relating to the hire of various tug boats, he was retained by a foreign government. In the past Arshad has acted in shipbuilding and ship repair arbitrations, both for the yard and the purchaser, and has also been engaged in an arbitration concerning liability to obtain an exemption from the IMSBC Code.

Arshad also acted as counsel for a major international company in an arbitration concerning the construction of a sewage treatment plant. Arshad was instructed by Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle in this matter.

On the domestic front, Arshad was counsel in an arbitration involving a regional police force following their termination of a contract with vehicle recovery agents. Arshad was also junior counsel in the first case to reach the House of Lords (and sole counsel in the lower courts) on the interpretation of the Arbitration Act 1996: *Inco Europe v First Choice Distribution* [2000] 1 WLR 586; [1998] 1 WLR 270.

Additionally, Arshad has appeared in the High Court on applications under the 1996 Act, including for example, in *The Pamphilos* [2002] EWHC 2292. Arshad is frequently instructed in respect of the enforcement of arbitration awards and acted for Cyrex Nigeria Barge Ltd in successfully enforcing and executing an arbitration award of over \$12m against the Federal Republic of Nigeria. In 2017 he was instructed to resist enforcement of a \$2 billion award in the DIFC Court on behalf of the Kurdistan Regional Government of Iraq (*Pearl Petroleum Company Limited & Others v The Kurdistan Regional Government of Iraq* [2017] DIFC ARB 003). He has also recently been retained to resist the enforcement of an LMAA award by the DIFC Court on the basis that there was no arbitration agreement between the parties.

Since 2012 Arshad has increasingly been sitting as an arbitrator following three appointments in respect of arbitrations in the Indian energy sector, concerning the supply of power machines. Subsequently he was appointed in an ICC arbitration seated in Dubai regarding a hotel management contract and in December 2014 was appointed in a \$50m ICC arbitration with its seat in London but subject to Emirati Law. In August 2015 he was nominated in an LCIA arbitration regarding a distribution agreement. In the last year Arshad has been appointed as a party nominated arbitrator in ICC arbitrations 22609/FZ and 22318/PTA and proposed as a party appointed arbitrator in an AIAC arbitration concerning a 3G mobile telecommunications network; as the Chairman of a DIAC Panel in an arbitration regarding a golf course in Dubai and as the sole arbitrator in a dispute regarding the laying of fibre-optic cable in Oman. Most recently he has been appointed as a co-arbitrator in DIAC case 211/2021.

Arshad is also on the CEDR Panel of Neutrals and as such has frequently sat as sole arbitrator in London in respect of disputes arising under the ABTA arbitration scheme. He is also on the Ofgem Panel in respect of disputes involving National Grid Electricity Transmission as well as on the RECC Panel as regards renewable energy disputes. Further, he sits as an adjudicator under the WATRS scheme.

Additionally, Arshad is on the panel of arbitrators of the World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO); the Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC); the Asian International Arbitration Centre (AIAC); the Cairo Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration (CRCICA); the Dubai International Arbitration Centre (DIAC); the Istanbul Arbitration Centre (ISTAC); The Kigali International Arbitration Centre (KIAC); The Lagos Court of Arbitration (LCA); the Centre for International Investment and Commercial Arbitration (CIICA); the Indian Council of Arbitration (ICA); the Nani Pakhiwala Arbitration Centre (NPAC); the IMC International Arbitration Centre (IIAC); the Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration, Lagos (RCICAL); the Delhi International Arbitration Centre; the BVI International Arbitration Centre, as well as the panel of arbitrators and mediators of the Hainan international Arbitration Court. Most recently Arshad has been invited to join and been placed on the panel of arbitrators of Pakistan's newest created arbitration institution, the International Centre for Appropriate Dispute Resolution and Prevention (ICADRP).

Arshad has also been placed, by the Attorney General of Pakistan, on the panel of international lawyers to be used by the Government of Pakistan in international arbitrations maintained by the Federal Law Ministry and is the founding member and a vice-president of the Pakistan Branch of the International Law Association. He is also a life member of the Indian Council of Arbitration (ICA) and a member of ICCA, LCIA and the ICC. Arshad is a member of the ICC Commission for arbitration and ADR as well as of its Task Force on ADR and Arbitration and was a member of its Task Force on the Arbitration of Climate Change Related Disputes. He was also an Ambassador to the ICC Commission on Belt and Road Disputes. Arshad has also been elected as the Chairman of the Steering Committee formed to set up a Branch of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators in Oman.

Arshad frequently lectures on and publishes articles on various aspects of arbitration law. He is a regular speaker at the XXIV Annual Dubai Conference. In February 2019 he delivered a paper at the joint CI Arb / CRCICA conference on arbitrating banking and finance related disputes, held in Cairo Egypt. Most recently, in November 2019, he was a member of a panel which discussed the presentation made by Professor Lucy Reed for the Pakistan Branch of the ILA on Pakistan's experience of investment treaty arbitrations. He has also, in 2018, spoken at the third annual CIICA Conference held in Lahore, Pakistan with the support of UNCITRAL, on the resolution of disputes arising under the China Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC). In 2017 he also spoke on CPEC disputes at a conference organized by the UMT School of Law and Policy in Lahore as well as on arbitration law in Pakistan at a conference organized by the Sheikh Ahmed Hassan School of Law at the Lahore University of Management Sciences which was featured in the GAR Article "Winds of Change in Pakistan." In November 2016 he spoke on the Omani Arbitration Law at the ICC Young Arbitrators' Forum on Revitalising Arbitration in the Middle East, which took place in Muscat, Oman. Most recently, Arshad was invited to and spoke at the CI Arb Pakistan Branch launch conference in Lahore on 27 May

2022. Arshad has also spoken at various law firms on escalation clauses and the decision in the case of Emirates Trading Agency v Prime Mineral Exports [2014] EWHC 2104 (Comm) and has published a case note on the decision in Habas Sinai v VSC Steel Co [2013] EWHC 4071 (Comm) in Arbitration, November 2014, Vol.80, No.4 p.463. He is also an accredited course leader and exam marker for the CIArb Fellowship and Membership courses. Since 2019 Arshad has also been extensively involved with the creation and establishment of the Oman Commercial Arbitration Centre (OCAC) in Muscat.

Aviation

Arshad is consistently recognized as a leading aviation junior by both Legal 500 and Chambers & Partners. He has particular expertise in issues of ownership, leasing and financing. He has lectured on the Capetown Convention to specialist aviation solicitors and has been involved in a number of aircraft financing and leasing disputes. He was asked to be a speaker for the IBA Aviation Law Sub-Committee at the Annual IBA Conference in Madrid 2009 on security and repossession rights and has most recently spoken at the IBA Aviation Committee's session on legal and commercial issues relating to drones at the IBA Annual Conference in Washington DC, 2016.

He has advised on injunctions over aircraft in support of non-payments of lease rentals; has been retained in a major case for an airline against a premiere engine manufacturer, raising significant and novel issues about duty of care and aviation safety policy; and has assisted in drafting and advising on various other aviation related transactions, including an \$80m purchase of helicopters by the Royal Oman Police. He has also given advice on the pension scheme of an airline. He is frequently instructed in cases involving air charters and seat sale agreements. Other experience includes various Fatal Accident Act claims involving aircraft crashes; disputes over brokers' commissions; advice to a major rail freight operator on emergency timetables following the Hatfield disaster as well as on track access agreements; and a large number of CMR and carriage by road disputes.

Currently Arshad is acting for Pakistan International Airlines Corporation Ltd (PIACL) in an action for unpaid lease hire brought against it by the lessor, Asia Aviation Capital Limited.

Some of Arshad's past case references in this field are:

- Oman Air v Datalex (Ireland) Ltd
- Al Kharafi Aviation 200 Ltd v Liza Transport International
- PAFCO v National Air Services
- London 28 v Eimskip London 28 v Air Atlanta Celtic Capital v Air Tanzania
- Emerald Airways v Rolls-Royce Plc and ors [2006]
- XL v New Horizons [2007]
- Excel v GM Tours [2002]
- Aviation accidents: AAIB Bulletins 11/2006, 4/2004, 1/2003 and 1/2001 Indian Airlines v GIA [2003 All England Official Transcripts];
- Case C-64/99 Pace Airline Services v Aerotrans.

Commercial Litigation

In the last several years Arshad has been instructed in several matters of note, the details of many of which are confidential. Amongst others, these have included a multi-million dollar dispute regarding long-term cargo haul arrangements of a petroleum development company; advising on a \$3 billion procurement contract in the Defence industry; publishing agreements; merchandising rights; music concert promotion; distribution agreements; asset and share sale agreements; collective investment schemes; ownership of a Top Level (Country Code) Internet Domain; software development and licensing agreements; the use of nominees in sham transactions; trade mark infringement and passing off claims involving a global luxury brand; ownership of shares in mining companies; the granting of anti-suit injunctions and resisting the enforcement of a New York "judgment by confession" both in the Commercial Court in England and in the DIFC Courts; a joint venture for property development, and the movement of a heavy-lift cargo from Novorossysk Port, Russia, to its destination, at Atyrau Refinery in Kazakhstan. .

Arshad has also been engaged in drafting transactional documents for the supply and maintenance of a 3G mobile communications network and for the development of an Integrated Tourism Complex in Oman, as well as drafting standard terms and conditions for recovery agents.

Arshad's experience further covers commodities disputes; financing disputes; letters of credit cases; civil fraud; conflict of laws; and agency cases, in particular commercial agency claims for compensation or indemnity.

Some of Arshad's cases in these various areas are:

- Primelodge Developments Limited -v- Goldberg London Ltd [2020] – successfully obtained summary judgment in relation to a joint venture agreement for property development and advised on steps for enforcement, including committal for contempt of court

- Globalink v DHL [2019] EWHC 225 (Comm) – representing the Claimant in an action for unpaid freight arising from carriage of a heavy-lift cargo from Novorossysk Port, Russia, to its destination, at Atyrau Refinery in Kazakhstan
- Saeed v Ibrahim [2018] EWHC 1804 (ch) – illegality and fraud
- Midtown Acquisitions LP v Essar Global Fund Limited [2017] EWHC 519 (Comm)
- Barclays Bank PLC (2) Credit Suisse Loan Funding L.L.C. (3) Midtown Acquisitions L.P. (4) Special Situations Investing Group Inc. v Essar Global Fund Limited [2016] DIFC CFI 036
- Advised Oakley Sunglasses Re European Commission Block Exemption Regulations
- Davenport v House of Dee plc (commercial agency)
- Advised regarding concessions for stalls selling merchandise at Formula 1 races
- Tom Stevenson v Dorling Kindersley (publishing agreement)
- Advised a slush drink manufacturer regarding abuse of dominant position by Slush Puppie
- AMG Global Nominees (Private) Ltd V SMM Holdings Ltd [2008] EWHC 221 (Ch) (13 February 2008) and [2008] EWCA Civ 1262 (Nov 2008) (share ownership)
- Godfrey v Torpy [2007] EWHC 919 (Ch) (fraud; sham transactions)
- Sharma v Sood [2006] EWCA Civ 1480 (concert promotion; procedural rights at trial)
- Express Newspapers v Animated Expressions [2006] (merchandising rights in “Rupert the Bear”) Wiheshi v Tayeb [2005] (dispute re a CC TLD)
- Ark v Event Vision [2004] EWHC 691 (event management contract)
- Flynn v Wheatcroft, The Irish Times, 21 January 2004, p.1 (sale of fake Nazi memorabilia)
- Bosman v LKW Walter [2002] EWCA Civ 850 (warehouse security)
- United Arab Shipping v Galleon [2000] EWHC 202 (Comm): (service out of the jurisdiction; permanent anti-suit injunction)
- Clark (Inspector of Taxes) v Perks [2000] 1 All ER 1 (rights of appeal)
- Prolaw v Adams [1998] 1 WLR 1379 (civil procedure)

Company

Arshad’s company and partnership law profile continues to grow. Amongst others, he has acted in partnership disputes involving accountants and solicitors and in particular in relation to LLPs.

On the company law front, in late 2008 Arshad successfully concluded litigation in the Court of Appeal which had been on-going for 3 years between his client and a Government of Zimbabwe nominee company involving issues of financial assistance and disputed title to bearer share warrants, commenced as an application under section 359 of the Companies Act 1985:

AMG Global Nominees (Private) Ltd V SMM Holdings Ltd [2008] EWHC 221 (Ch) (13 February 2008) and [2008] EWCA Civ 1262 (Nov 2008).

Arshad also appeared for the successful Claimant in:

Corporate Development Partners LLC v E-Relationship Marketing Ltd [2007] EWHC 436 (Ch) (09 March 2007)

Also involving questions of financial assistance, and has experience of section 459 / 994 petitions. In addition, since 2005 Arshad has been retained by and acted in a dispute concerning a large UK Mosque organized as a company limited by guarantee, in which issues of membership, mismanagement, financial transparency and directors’ duties all arose. The matter was initially settled through mediation and then subsequently gave rise to further litigation: *Hussain and others v Wycombe Islamic Mission Mosque Trust* [2011] EWHC 971 (Ch).

Construction & Projects

Arshad has considerable experience of construction disputes. His expertise ranges from power plants to general construction contracts. He has particular experience of operating in the Middle East.

Currently Arshad is acting as counsel for the Omani Ministry of Defence Pension Fund in respect of claims brought against it as the Employer under a contract for the construction of a major integrated tourism development complex where the award has very recently been annulled by the Omani Courts, and the Public Authority for Electricity and Water (PAEW) in disputes arising out of an EPC contract and associated O&M contract in respect of the construction of a reverse osmosis water filtration plant. Arshad is also retained as counsel for an ICC arbitration concerning the construction of an office tower in Business Bay, Dubai.

Much of his work involves Arshad acting as counsel in an arbitration and such matters are highly confidential. However, if you would like further information about Arshad’s experience, please contact **Tommie Drury** on 020 7691 2424.

Arshad's recent cases have included:

- US \$50m dispute concerning construction of a major integrated tourism development complex
- US \$50m dispute concerning construction of a sewage treatment plant in Oman
- Multi-million dollar concerning construction of a sea water reverse osmosis desalination plant
- US \$70m dispute concerning a power plant in the Middle East. Multi-million \$ dispute concerning a malfunctioning gas turbine
- Dispute concerning the termination of an extremely valuable maintenance contract. Arbitration concerning payments due under a construction contract
- DIAC arbitration concerning the construction of a major residential tower at Culture Village in Dubai
- Dispute concerning the supply of bitumen and polymer modified bitumen for use in the construction of an airport

Energy, Oil & Gas

Arshad's expertise in the area of energy, oil & gas continues to grow. He has acted in arbitrations concerning the construction and operation and maintenance of power stations in Muscat, Oman. He has sat as an arbitrator in the energy sector in India. He has frequently advised on the provisions of Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs), including technical matters as well as pure legal issues such as the correct interpretation of a force majeure clause.

Mediation

Arshad is an accredited mediator and has appeared as counsel in successful mediations. He has also acted as a mediator in a variety of disputes (details confidential). He is the author of various articles on mediation including "The Rise of the Fudge" which appeared in the Lawyer magazine on 24 July 2006. He is also the author of the chapter on "BATNA and WATNA" in the IBA E-Book on Mediation Techniques. He was also invited to speak at the IBA Mediation Committee Conference in Rio De Janeiro scheduled for June 2016 on ADR and Sports Law.

Recommendations

Aviation

Legal 500

'He has excellent knowledge of aviation matters.' (2022)

International Arbitration: Counsel

Legal 500

Leading junior (2022)

'Great attention to detail, excellent cross-examination skills and forceful advocacy.' (2021)

Construction

Legal 500

'He is logical, incisive, committed and hard-working.' (2021)

Middle East: The English Bar – Construction

Legal 500

Arshad Ghaffar is instructed in a range of construction disputes, often with Omani elements. (2021)

Middle East: The English Bar – Commercial

Legal 500

Leading junior (2021)

In the United Arab Emirates, Arshad is known to be **'involved in both DIFC litigation and arbitration too. His practice includes a number of Oman-related disputes'**.

Previously he has been described as **'a highly effective barrister with a strong analytical mind and astute advocacy'**.

Academic history

- Westminster School
- LLB Hons (Exon); LLM (i) (Cantab)
- Harmsworth Entrance Exhibition, Middle Temple
- "Stage" scholarship at EU from Bar Council

Publications

- Contributor to Practitioner's Handbook of EC Law
- Various articles and reviews: The Lawyer; 'The 2012 ICC Arbitration Rules' (2012) in *Arbitration* 172 – 173; case note on the decision in *Habas Sinai v VSC Steel Co* [2013] EWHC 4071 (Comm) in *Arbitration*, November 2014, Vol.80, No.4 p.463; Ghaffar, A. (2018). *Alternative Visions of the International Law on Foreign Investment: Essays in Honour of Muthucamaraswamy Sornarajah* edited by CL Lim [Cambridge University Press, book review in the *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*, 67(4), 1038-1039; the European Young Bar Association; Complanet Country Guides.
- Contributor of chapter on BATNA and WATNA to IBA E-Book on Mediation Techniques

Professional memberships

- Licensed to practice in the Courts of the Punjab, Pakistan by the Punjab Bar Council (2016)
- Commercial Bar Association (COMBAR) and Chancery Bar Association
- Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (FCIArb)
- LCIA
- ICC UK and ICC Pakistan
- Associate Member DIAC (Dubai International Arbitration Centre)
- Bar of the DIFC (Dubai International Financial Centre)
- Royal Aeronautical Society (MRAeS)
- Solicitors' European Group (SEG) and Bar European Group (BEG) and European Circuit
- British Institute of International and Comparative Law (BIICL)
- International Bar Association (IBA)
- Society for Advanced Legal Studies (SALS)

Appointments

- [Ambassador for the Commission of the ICC Court of Arbitration on the Belt and Road initiative](#)
 - Chairman of the Steering Committee – CIArb (Oman Branch)
 - ICC Task Force on Arbitration of Climate Change Related Disputes
 - ICC Task Force on ADR and Arbitration
 - Vice President of the Pakistan Branch of the International Law Association (ILA)
 - Member of the Executive Council of the ILA
 - Member of the Board of Governors of the Pakistan Centre for Law and Society (PCLS)
 - Public access accredited
-